

**SYNTHESIS OF  $\beta$ -TCP POWDER VIA  
WET PRECIPITATION AND HYDROTHERMAL METHODS**

**PHAM TRUNG KIEN**

**UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA**

**2007**

**SYNTHESIS OF  $\beta$ -TCP POWDER VIA WET PRECIPITATION AND  
HYDROTHERMAL METHODS**

**by**

**PHAM TRUNG KIEN**

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the  
requirements for the degree  
of Master of Science**

**July 2007**

Saya isytiharkan bahawa kandungan yang dibentangkan di dalam tesis ini adalah hasil kerja saya sendiri dan telah dijalankan di Universiti Sains Malaysia kecuali dimaklumkan sebaliknya. Tesis ini juga tidak pernah disertakan untuk ijazah yang lain sebelum ini.

Disaksikan Oleh:

.....  
Tandatangan Calon

Nama Calon: Pham Trung Kien

.....  
Tandatangan Penyelia/Dekan

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my most sincere thanks that come deeply from my heart to those who made this research study possible.

Firstly, I want to acknowledge my main supervisor Prof. Radzali Othman and my co-supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmad Fauzi Mooh for their help and advice on my research. I am also grateful to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Khairun Azizi Azizli and the staff of the School of Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering, Universiti Sains Malaysia, for their kindness and support.

This work would not have been possible without the financial support from AUN-SEED Net/JICA. I would like to deliver my sincere thanks and deepest gratitude for their generosity on giving me this opportunity and financial support pursuing my master's degree.

My special thanks and appreciation are also extended to those people who in one way or another helped me accomplish this research:

To Madam Fong Lee Lee, Mr. Kemuridan for their kindness, help, and assistance; to Mr. Rashid, and Mr. Karuna for their help in conducting SEM and XRD;

To Prof. Kunio Ishikawa from the Department of Biomaterials, Faculty of Dental Science, Kyushu University, Japan for his advice on my study, and in living;

To Dr. Do Quang Minh, my co-advisor from the Department of Silicate Materials, Faculty of Materials Technology, Ho Chi Minh University of Technology, for his help and support.

To all my friends ( Long, Quynh and Umar) for their help and friendship.

To my family for their understanding support and inspiration.

Thank you.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| Acknowledgements  | ii   |
| Table of Contents   | iii  |
| List of Tables  | vii  |
| List of Figures   | ix   |
| List of Abbreviations   | xii  |
| Abstrak   | xiii |
| Abstract  | xiv  |
| <br>  |      |
| <b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>  |      |
| <br>  |      |
| 1.1 Introduction  | 1    |
| 1.2 Problem statement   | 2    |
| 1.3 Objectives of research  | 4    |
| 1.4 Research scope  | 5    |
| <br>  |      |
| <b>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>   |      |
| <br>  |      |
| 2.1 Biomaterials  | 8    |
| 2.2 Types of synthetic biomaterials   | 10   |
| 2.2.1 Metallic biomaterials   | 10   |
| 2.2.2 Ceramic and glass biomaterials  | 11   |
| 2.2.3 Polymeric biomaterials  | 15   |
| 2.3 Calcium phosphate ceramics (CPC)  | 17   |
| 2.3.1 General properties of calcium phosphate ceramics                      | 17   |
| 2.3.2 Hydroxyapatite  | 21   |
| 2.3.3 Tricalcium phosphate (TCP)  | 22   |
| 2.3.4 Trace element measurement of HA and $\beta$ -TCP for surgical implant | 24   |
| 2.3.5 Thermal behaviour of calcium phosphate ceramic                        | 24   |
| 2.4 Previous study on synthesis $\beta$ -TCP                                | 27   |
| 2.4.1 Solid-state reactions   | 27   |
| 2.4.2 Wet chemical reactions  | 31   |
| 2.5 Powder synthesis method   | 38   |
| 2.5.1 The wet precipitation method  | 38   |
| 2.5.2 Hydrothermal method   | 39   |

## CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 3.1 Starting materials and solution preparation                                | 41 |
| 3.1.1 Starting materials   | 41 |
| 3.1.2 Solution preparation   | 42 |
| 3.1.2.1 Preparation of 0.3 mole $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ solution (solution A1) | 43 |
| 3.1.2.2 Preparation of 0.45 mole calcium hydroxide solution (solution A2)      | 43 |
| 3.2 Experimental method  | 44 |
| 3.2.1 The wet precipitation method   | 44 |
| 3.2.1.1 Effect of different stirring speeds                                    | 48 |
| 3.2.1.2 Effect of different calcination temperatures                           | 49 |
| 3.2.1.3 Effect of different calcination soaking times                          | 49 |
| 3.2.1.4 Effect of different stirring durations                                 | 50 |
| 3.2.2 Hydrothermal method  | 51 |
| 3.2.2.1 Effect of different stirring speeds                                    | 51 |
| 3.2.2.2 Effect of different calcination temperatures                           | 54 |
| 3.3 Trace element measurement of $\beta$ -TCP powder for surgical implant      | 54 |
| 3.4 Characterization   | 55 |
| 3.4.1 Thermal analysis (DSC/TG)  | 55 |
| 3.4.2 X-Ray Powder Diffraction (XRD)   | 56 |
| 3.4.3 Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy                           | 57 |
| 3.4.4 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)                                       | 58 |
| 3.4.5 Powder density measurement   | 59 |
| 3.4.6 Trace element measurement  | 60 |

## CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 4.1 Characterization of starting materials                              | 62 |
| 4.1.1 XRD analysis  | 62 |
| 4.1.2 Thermal analysis  | 63 |
| 4.1.3 Morphological analysis  | 64 |
| 4.2 Synthesis of $\beta$ -TCP powder using the wet precipitation method | 64 |
| 4.2.1 Effect of different stirring speeds                               | 65 |
| 4.2.1.1 pH measurement  | 65 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 4.2.1.2 Thermal analysis:   | 66  |
| 4.2.1.3 XRD analysis  | 70  |
| 4.2.1.4 Evidence of reproducibility                                       | 77  |
| 4.2.1.5 FTIR analysis   | 78  |
| 4.2.2 Effect of different calcination temperatures                        | 80  |
| 4.2.2.1 XRD analysis  | 80  |
| 4.2.2.2 Morphological analysis and density measurement                    | 87  |
| 4.2.3 Effect of different calcination soaking times                       | 90  |
| 4.2.3.1 XRD analysis  | 90  |
| 4.2.3.2 Morphological analysis and density measurement                    | 92  |
| 4.2.4 Effect of different stirring durations                              | 94  |
| 4.2.4.1 pH measurement  | 94  |
| 4.2.4.2 Thermal analysis:   | 95  |
| 4.2.4.3 XRD analysis  | 98  |
| 4.2.4.4 FTIR analysis   | 101 |
| 4.2.4.5 Morphological analysis and density measurement                    | 103 |
| 4.3 Synthesis of $\beta$ -TCP using hydrothermal method                   | 106 |
| 4.3.1 Effect of different stirring speeds                                 | 106 |
| 4.3.1.1 pH measurement  | 106 |
| 4.3.1.2 Thermal analysis  | 107 |
| 4.3.1.3 XRD analysis  | 110 |
| 4.3.1.4 FTIR analysis   | 112 |
| 4.3.2 Effect of different calcination temperatures                        | 114 |
| 4.3.2.1 XRD analysis  | 114 |
| 4.3.2.2 Morphological analysis  | 115 |
| 4.4 Trace element measurement of $\beta$ -TCP powder for surgical implant | 117 |
| 4.5 Summary   | 118 |

## **CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS**

|                                 |     |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| 5.1 Conclusions                 | 119 |
| 5.2 Suggestions for future work | 120 |

## REFERENCES

## APPENDICES

|            |  |     |
|------------|--|-----|
| Appendix A | Solution calculation   | 131 |
| Appendix B | Calculation on the loss of lattice water of $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ | 132 |
| Appendix C | ICDD card of XRD pattern   | 133 |
| Appendix D | XRD patterns   | 141 |

|                             |            |
|-----------------------------|------------|
| <b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b> | <b>151</b> |
|-----------------------------|------------|



## LIST OF TABLES

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| 1.1 Prediction of market share of orthopedic biomaterials over the world in 5 years 2007-2011.  | 1    |
| 2.1 Classification of biomaterials.   | 9    |
| 2.2 Selected properties of metallic biomaterials.   | 11   |
| 2.3 Ceramics used in biomedical application.  | 13   |
| 2.4 Mechanical properties of ceramic biomaterial.   | 15   |
| 2.5 Examples of biomedical application of polymers.   | 16   |
| 2.6 Mechanical properties of biomedical polymers.   | 17   |
| 2.7 Comparison between enamel, dentin, bone and synthetic apatite.  | 18   |
| 2.8 Various phases of calcium phosphate ceramics.   | 19   |
| 2.9 Solubility of different calcium phosphates.   | 19   |
| 2.10 Physical properties of calcium phosphates.   | 19   |
| 2.11 Calcium phosphates used for direct tablet.   | 20   |
| 2.12 Standard specification of HA and $\beta$ -TCP for surgical implant.  | 24   |
| 2.13 Combinations and compositions of the raw materials for reaction sintering.   | 30   |
| 2.14 Crystalline phase of reaction-sintered bodies using various combinations of the raw materials.   | 30   |
| 2.15 The summary of previous study on synthesis $\beta$ -TCP.   | 36   |
| 3.1 Trace elements in calcium hydroxide.  | 41   |
| 3.2 Trace elements in phosphoric acid.  | 42   |
| 3.3 Batch code employed for different stirring speeds.  | 48   |
| 3.4 Batch code employed for different stirring durations.   | 50   |
| 3.5 Batch code employed for different stirring speeds.  | 52   |
| 3.6 Standard specification of $\beta$ -TCP for surgical implant   | 54   |
| 4.1 pH value of mixtures before and after reaction.   | 65   |
| 4.2 Tabulation of DSC/TG results for as-prepared S0, S100, S200, S300 and S400 powder.  | 70   |
| 4.3 Summary of the phase(s) in the as-prepared S0, S100, S200, S300 and S400 powders and the corresponding strongest intensity positions on $2\theta$ axis.   | 76   |
| 4.4 Summary of the phase(s) in S0, S100, S200, S300 and S400 powders calcined at 900°C and the corresponding strongest intensity positions on $2\theta$ axis. | 77   |

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.5  | FTIR peaks of S0, S100, S200, S300 and S400 filter liquid.  | 80  |
| 4.6  | The effect of calcination temperature on the phase transformation of S200 powder.   | 83  |
| 4.7  | Comparison of XRD data of powder calcined at different temperature with $\beta$ -TCP standard pattern (ICDD 9-169).   | 85  |
| 4.8  | The effect of calcination temperature on the crystallite size of $\beta$ -TCP powder along (0 2 10) direction.  | 86  |
| 4.9  | Summary of the effect of calcination temperature on the morphology of S200 powder.  | 90  |
| 4.10 | The $2\theta$ and relative intensity of S200 powder calcined at $900^{\circ}\text{C}$ with different soaking times compared to standard $\beta$ -TCP (ICDD card 9-169). | 91  |
| 4.11 | Crystallite size and grain size of powders calcined at $900^{\circ}\text{C}$ with different soaking times.  | 92  |
| 4.12 | Grain size and density of powders calcined at $900^{\circ}\text{C}$ with different soaking times.   | 94  |
| 4.13 | pH value of four different mixtures before and after reaction.  | 95  |
| 4.14 | Tabulation of DSC/TG for T1, S200, T3 and T4 powder.  | 98  |
| 4.15 | Phase identification of T1, S200, T3 and T4 powders calcined at $900^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 1 hour soaking time.  | 100 |
| 4.16 | The FTIR peaks of filtrated liquid of T1, S200, T3 and T4.  | 102 |
| 4.17 | Summary of the effect of different stirring times on the morphology of powders calcined at $900^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 1 hour.  | 104 |
| 4.18 | Summary of properties of synthesized $\beta$ -TCP powder at different reaction and calcination parameters.  | 105 |
| 4.19 | pH value of three different mixtures before and after hydrothermal treatment.   | 107 |
| 4.20 | Tabulation of DSC/TG curves for as-prepared H0, H50 and H100 powders.   | 110 |
| 4.21 | The FTIR peaks of filtered liquid of H0, H50 and H100 sample.   | 113 |
| 4.22 | The concentration of Pb, As and Cd element in liquid and in powder form.  | 117 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| 1.1 Flow chart of the wet precipitation method (the first part)   | 6    |
| 1.2 Flow chart of the hydrothermal method (the second part)   | 7    |
| 2.1 Bioactivity spectrum for various bioceramic implants.   | 14   |
| 2.2 Differential thermal analysis curves of (1) brushite, (2) MCP, (3) monetite and (4) Hydroxyapatite.   | 25   |
| 2.3 XRD patterns of 8 hours mechanically milled (a) as-prepared $\beta$ -TCP and $\beta$ -TCP powder calcined at (b) 300°C, (c) 600°C and (d) 900°C in stagnant air for 10 hours.                       | 28   |
| 2.4 XRD patterns of synthesized powder calcined at 900°C, derived from (a): 1:1.1 ratio, (b) 1:1.3 ratio, (c) 1:1.5 ratio and (d) 1:1.7 ratio (wt. % of eggshell : phosphoric acid).                    | 29   |
| 2.5 XRD patterns of sintered bodies using various combinations of the raw materials (sintering condition: 1100°C, 24 hours, in air)   | 31   |
| 2.6 XRD patterns of coating layers with different number of deposition (1c coating, 5c coating and 10c coating). Maxima attributable to $\beta$ -TCP are indexed; (*□) are $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ peak | 32   |
| 2.7 XRD patterns of TCP precipitates (A) as-dried, (B) calcined at 800°C and (C) calcined at 1200°C for 2 hours   | 33   |
| 2.8 Effect of temperature on the crystallization of the TCP precursor as followed by XRD: (a) XRD patterns from 100°C to 750°C and (b) XRD patterns from 800°C to 1120°C                                | 34   |
| 2.9 XRD patterns of $\beta$ -TCP obtained at different temperatures for 2 hours: (A) 800°C, (B) 850°C, (C) 950°C, (D) 1050°C, (E) 1150°C, (F) 1250°C  | 35   |
| 2.10 Processing flow diagram for the preparation of a pure precipitate  | 39   |
| 3.1 Materials and laboratory tools for the preparation of solution A1 and solution A2   | 43   |
| 3.2 Experimental set up for the wet precipitation method.   | 45   |
| 3.3 Flow chart of the wet precipitation method.   | 47   |
| 3.4 High-pressure batch reactor.  | 51   |
| 3.5 Flow chart of hydrothermal method producing $\beta$ -TCP  | 53   |
| 3.6 X-ray diffractometer (D5000 Siemens)  | 57   |
| 3.7 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrophotometer  | 58   |

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| 3.8  | SEM Model Leo Supre 35VP.   | 59  |
| 3.9  | AccuPyc 1330 Pycnometer   | 60  |
| 4.1  | XRD pattern for pure $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ starting powder   | 62  |
| 4.2  | DSC/TG curves for calcium hydroxide.  | 63  |
| 4.3  | SEM morphology of $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ at different magnifications of:<br>(a) 5,000 X                      (b) 15,000 X   | 64  |
| 4.4  | DSC/TG curves for as-prepared powders:<br>a) S0 b) S100 c) S200 d) S300 and e) S400   | 69  |
| 4.5  | XRD patterns of as-prepared powders (bottom) and powders<br>calcined at $900^\circ\text{C}$ (top) for 2 hours soaking time:<br>a) S0 b) S100 c) S200 d) S300 and e) S400.                               | 72  |
| 4.6  | XRD patterns of S200-1 powders of: a) as-prepared b) $900^\circ\text{C}$  | 78  |
| 4.7  | FTIR spectra of filtered liquid: a) S0                      b) S100                      c) S200<br>d) S300 and e) S400.  | 79  |
| 4.8  | XRD patterns of S200 powder calcined at different temperatures for<br>2 hours soaking time:<br>a) as-prepared powder      b) $500^\circ\text{C}$ c) $700^\circ\text{C}$ and      d) $900^\circ\text{C}$ | 82  |
| 4.9  | Difference in single crystallite and polycrystallite powder   | 87  |
| 4.10 | SEM morphology of S200 powder calcined at $900^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 hours at<br>different magnifications: a) 5,000 X and b) 15,000X   | 88  |
| 4.11 | SEM morphology of S200 powder calcined at $1000^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 hours at<br>different magnifications: a) 5,000 X and b) 15,000 X   | 88  |
| 4.12 | SEM morphology of S200 powder calcined at $1100^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 hours at<br>different magnifications: a) 5,000 X and b) 15,000 X   | 88  |
| 4.13 | SEM morphology of S200 powder calcined at different temperatures<br>for 2 hours at magnification 5,000 X: (a) $1200^\circ\text{C}$ (b) $1300^\circ\text{C}$   | 89  |
| 4.14 | XRD patterns of S200 powder calcined at $900^\circ\text{C}$ with different<br>soaking times. All peaks are assigned for $\beta$ -TCP:<br>a) 1 hour      b) 2 hours      c) 3 hours and      d) 4 hours  | 91  |
| 4.15 | SEM morphology of S200 powder calcined at $900^\circ\text{C}$ with different<br>soaking times at magnification 15,000 X<br>a) 1 hour      b) 2 hours      c) 3 hours and      d) 4 hours.               | 93  |
| 4.16 | DSC/TG curves for as-prepared powder at different stirring<br>durations: a) T1 b) S200 c) T3 and d) T4  | 97  |
| 4.17 | XRD patterns of as-prepared powder (bottom) and powder calcined<br>at $900^\circ\text{C}$ for 1 hour (top): a) T1 b) S200 c) T3 and d) T4.  | 100 |

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.18 | FTIR spectra of filtered liquid of: a) T1 b) S200 c) T3 and d) T4.  | 102 |
| 4.19 | SEM morphology of powders calcined at 900°C for 1 hour:<br>a) S200 b) T3 and c) T4.   | 103 |
| 4.20 | DSC/TG curves of as-prepared powder: a) H0 b) H50 and c) H100   | 109 |
| 4.21 | XRD patterns of as-prepared powder (bottom) and powder calcined<br>at 900°C for 1 hour (top): a) H0 b) H50 and c) H100              | 111 |
| 4.22 | FTIR spectra of filtered liquid a) H0 b) H50 and c) H100  | 113 |
| 4.23 | XRD patterns of H0 powders calcined at different temperatures for 1<br>hour: a) as-prepared b) 300°C c) 500°C d) 700°C and e) 900°C | 114 |
| 4.24 | SEM morphology of powder calcined at 900°C for 1 hour at different<br>magnification: a) 5,000X and b) 15,000 X                      | 116 |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATION

|        |   |  |
|--------|---|--|
| CF     | : | Carbon fiber                             |
| CPC    | : | Calcium phosphate ceramics               |
| DCP    | : | Dicalcium phosphate                      |
| DCPA   | : | Dicalcium phosphate anhydrous            |
| DCPD   | : | Dicalcium phosphate dihydrate            |
| DSC    | : | Differential scanning calorimetry        |
| FTIR   | : | Fourier transform infrared               |
| HA     | : | Hydroxyapatite                           |
| ICP    | : | Inductively coupled plasma               |
| MCP    | : | Monocalcium phosphate monohydrate        |
| nm     | : | nanometer                                |
| PEEK   | : | Polyethyletheketone                      |
| PMMA   | : | Polymethylmethacarylate                  |
| ppm    | : | Part per million                         |
| PTFE   | : | Polytetrafluoroethylene                  |
| PU     | : | Polyurethane                             |
| PVC    | : | Polyvinylchloride                        |
| rpm    | : | Round per minute                         |
| SEM    | : | Scanning electron microscope             |
| STA    | : | Simultaneous thermal analysis            |
| TCP    | : | Tricalcium phosphate                     |
| TG     | : | Thermogravimetry                         |
| UHMWPE | : | Ultra High Molecular Weight Polyethylene |
| XRD    | : | X-ray diffraction                        |
| μm     | : | Micrometer                               |

# **SINTESIS SERBUK $\beta$ -TCP**

## **MELALUI KAEDAH PEMENDAKAN BASAH DAN HIDROTERMA.**

### **ABSTRAK**

Matlamat kajian ini adalah untuk mensintesis  $\beta$ -TCP [ $\beta$ - $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ ] menggunakan  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  dan  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  sebagai bahan mula. Dua pendekatan telah digunakan dalam kajian ini untuk menghasilkan  $\beta$ -TCP, iaitu kaedah pemendakan basah dan kaedah hidroturma. Kajian ini telah mengesahkan bahawa kesan kelajuan pengadukan memainkan peranan yang lebih penting berbanding tempoh pengadukan. Keadaan optimum untuk menghasilkan serbuk  $\beta$ -TCP fasa tunggal adalah : (1) kelajuan pengadukan : 200rpm ; (2) tempoh pengadukan: 2 jam ; (3) suhu kalsin : 900°C dengan tempoh rendam 1 jam. Lebih penting, kajian ini telah membuktikan bahawa fasa dalam serbuk sebelum kalsin untuk mendapatkan  $\beta$ -TCP fasa tunggal adalah campuran fasa monetit [ $\text{CaHPO}_4$ ] dan hidrosiapatit [ $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2$ ].

Berdasarkan kajian pemendakan, kaedah hidroturma telah digunakan untuk mensintesis  $\beta$ -TCP menggunakan  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  dan  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  sebagai bahan mula. Analisis XRD menunjukkan serbuk yang dihasilkan mempunyai fasa campuran brushite [ $\text{CaHPO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ] dan HA berbanding dengan fasa campuran monetit dan HA dari kaedah pemendakan. Serbuk kemudian dikalsin pada suhu 900°C selama 1 jam. XRD mengesahkan bahawa serbuk  $\beta$ -TCP fasa tunggal telah berjaya dihasilkan.

Namun, ketumpatan  $\beta$ -TCP yang diperolehi daripada kaedah pemendakan adalah 3.1g/cm<sup>3</sup>, (hampir sama dengan nilai  $\beta$ -TCP komersil ( $\rho=3.17\text{g/cm}^3$ )) manakala kaedah hidroturma menghasilkan serbuk yang mempunyai ketumpatan lebih tinggi (iaitu sekitar 3.7 g/cm<sup>3</sup>). Ini dipercayai berpunca daripada pemampatan (sebelum kalsin) dan seterusnya pensinteran (selepas kalsin) serbuk kepada butir-butir bersaiz seragam sewaktu sintesis. Tambahan pula, serbuk  $\beta$ -TCP yang disintesis menggunakan kedua-dua kaedah didapati telah menepati keperluan Piawaian implan pembedahan ASTM F1088-04a dengan kandungan toksik yang sangat rendah.

# SYNTHESIS OF $\beta$ -TCP POWDER

## VIA WET PRECIPITATION AND HYDROTHERMAL METHODS.

### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to synthesize  $\beta$ -TCP [ $\beta$ - $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ ] using  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  as the starting material. Two approaches were used in this work to produce  $\beta$ -TCP, namely a precipitation method and a hydrothermal method. This research work reveals that the effect of stirring speed plays significant role than that of stirring duration. The optimum conditions to produced a single-phase  $\beta$ -TCP are: (1) stirring speed of 200 rpm; (2) stirring duration of 2 hours; (3) calcination temperature of  $900^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 hour soaking time. Since  $\beta$ -TCP cannot be directly precipitated from aqueous solution, it was found that the most optimum precursor phase(s) in the as-prepared powder to produce  $\beta$ -TCP after calcination is a mixture phase of monetite [ $\text{CaHPO}_4$ ] and hydroxyapatite [ $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2$ ].

Based on the precipitation study, a hydrothermal method was used to synthesize  $\beta$ -TCP using  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  as the starting materials in a high-pressure reactor. XRD study shows that the as-prepared powder is a mixture phase of brushite [ $\text{CaHPO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ] and HA instead of monetite and HA as found in the precipitation method. The powder was then calcined at  $900^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 hour and it was found that a single-phase  $\beta$ -TCP was also successfully produced.

The density of  $\beta$ -TCP obtained by the precipitation method is around  $3.1\text{g}/\text{cm}^3$  (almost similar to a commercial  $\beta$ -TCP ( $\rho=3.17\text{g}/\text{cm}^3$ )) whilst the hydrothermal method produced powders of higher density (density around  $3.7\text{ g}/\text{cm}^3$ ). This is attributed to the packing and sintering of the powder into uniformly-sized grains during synthesis. In addition, the  $\beta$ -TCP powders synthesized using both methods satisfy the requirements of the Standard for surgical implant, via ASTM F1088-04a with a very low level of toxic elements.



## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Introduction

Biomaterials are the emerging fields that are growing rapidly to fulfill the demand in medicine and dentistry. Over the past few decades, new biomaterials for bone replacement, total hip prosthesis and dental implants have been synthesized and commercialized for various needs. Currently, thousands of these materials can be found easily in the market. The market for orthopedic biomaterials over the world is worth over US\$25 billion in 2006 and with a growth rate of more than 5% a year (refer Table 1.1). The market for orthopedic biomaterials is expected to increase each year due to the need for better solution for injuries, diseases and ageing population all over the world.

Table 1. 1: Prediction of market share of orthopedic biomaterials over the world in five years from 2007 to 2011 (Driscoll, 2006).

| <b>Year</b>                    | <b>Worldwide sales (US\$ Billions)</b> | <b>Growth (%)</b> |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| 2006                           | 25.764                                 |                   |
| 2007                           | 27.122                                 | 5.3               |
| 2008                           | 28.562                                 | 5.3               |
| 2009                           | 30.989                                 | 8.5               |
| 2010                           | 31.708                                 | 2.5               |
| 2011                           | 33.425                                 | 5.4               |
| <b>Average growth rate (%)</b> |  | <b>5.4</b>        |

However, none of orthopedic biomaterials provides a perfect solution for guiding bone healing, because there always remain the questions about mechanical stability, long term in-vivo biocompatibility and biodegradability, the

study on orthopedic biomaterials increases every year (Tadic and Beckmann, 2004).

## **1.2 Problem statement**


The orthopedic biomaterials market consists primarily of bone graft substitutes, bone growth factors, degradable tissue fixation and tissue technologies for cartilage regeneration. Generally, orthopedic prostheses should offer a functional life of at least 20 years to match the life span of most patients. This presents a considerable problem for most orthopedic biomaterial (Batchelor and Chandrasekaran, 2004).

In Malaysia, orthopedic biomaterial researches especially on bone graft and degradable materials are still in the infancy stage. Up to now, Malaysia has spent more than RM20 million each year to buy synthetic bone graft from foreign countries such as Switzerland, Germany and the United States. Therefore, the Malaysian government intends to produce bone graft of its own with the supporting research from Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), Malaysian Institute for Nuclear Technology Research (MINT), Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) and International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM). (Material Medical Malaysian website, 2006).

Due to the high demands for bone substitutes, many researches have been conducted to produce synthetic bone substitutes in large quantities as reported by Jinawath *et al.*, (2002), Saeri *et al.*, (2003), Kondo *et al.*, (2005), Murugan *et al.*, (2006) and Horch *et al.*, (2006). Synthetic bone graft materials such as ceramics, polymers and metals were introduced as an alternative to the traditional bone substitute. Among these materials, calcium phosphate ceramics

such as hydroxyapatite (HA) and  $\beta$ -Tricalcium phosphate ( $\beta$ -TCP) are the most suitable materials with excellent biological properties. There are not so many researches up to date that have been done on the synthesis of  $\beta$ -TCP. Most of the researches focused on synthesis of HA powders or biphasic HA/ $\beta$ -TCP powder such as Zhang *et al.*, (2001), Anee *et al.*, (2003), Liu *et al.*, (2003), Murugan and Ramakrishna (2003) and Cheng *et al.*, (2006). However, the interest on  $\beta$ -TCP increases in recent years due to the high competition in producing bone graft in the market share of orthopedic biomaterial. Generally  $\beta$ -TCP was prepared by a solid-state reaction and a wet chemical reaction. The solid state reaction was reported by Lee *et al.*, (2007), Choi and Kumta (2007), and Yoshida *et al.*, (2007) whilst the wet chemical reaction was carried out by Koc (2004), Vallet-Regi *et al.*, (2004), Zou *et al.*, (2005) and Kwon *et al.*, (2006) (all of these methods will be discussed later in chapter 2). These synthesized  $\beta$ -TCP powders were not stable in term of thermal properties whereby they were converted to  $\alpha$ -TCP at temperature above 1125°C.

The present research modified the study of Cheang and Khor (1995), Saeri *et al.*, (2003), Afshar *et al.*, (2003) and Nagai and Nishimura, (1980) to synthesize  $\beta$ -TCP. According to Saeri *et al.*, (2003) and Afshar *et al.*, (2003), HA can be synthesized by using the system of  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  with a Ca/P ratio of 1.67. This reaction was expected to be developed towards an industrial-scale method to produce HA with the correct stoichiometric composition, according to the Equation (1.1):



The advantages of this reaction are:

- The reaction involves no foreign elements
- The only by-product of the reaction is water

In the present research work, a wet chemical reaction such as the precipitation method and also the hydrothermal method were carried out to prepare  $\beta$ -TCP. Instead of using a Ca/P ratio of 1.67, a modification was attempted whereby  $\text{Ca(OH)}_2$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  were used as starting materials with a Ca/P ratio of 1.5. The mechanism of phase transformation in both of these synthesis methods were fully explored.

### **1.3 Objectives of research**

This study is focused on certain variables such as reaction parameters (stirring speed and stirring duration), calcinations variables (calcination temperature and calcination soaking duration). Therefore, the objectives of this research are:

1. To synthesize single-phase  $\beta$ -TCP powders by a wet precipitation method and a hydrothermal method.
2. To investigate the optimum reaction parameters (stirring speed, stirring duration) and calcination condition (calcination temperature, soaking time) to form a single phase  $\beta$ -TCP.
3. To study the mechanism of phase transformation of the synthesized powder upon calcination.
4. To characterize the physical properties of single phase  $\beta$ -TCP powder such as density and morphology.

## 1.4 Research scope

In general, the research is divided into two parts which will be described in more detail in chapter 3. The first part is the synthesis of  $\beta$ -TCP using a wet precipitation method from  $\text{Ca(OH)}_2$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  starting materials with a Ca/P ratio of 1.5. The effect of reaction parameters (stirring speed, stirring duration) and calcination conditions (calcination temperature, soaking time) were studied. The characterization of the product powders were carried out using XRD, FTIR, SEM and the density of powders were observed.

The second part is the synthesis of  $\beta$ -TCP using a hydrothermal method from the same  $\text{Ca(OH)}_2$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  starting material with a Ca/P ratio of 1.5. In this part, the effect of stirring speed and calcination condition were studied. The results of first part and second part were compared to understand the effect of each method. The full detail of each method will be described in chapter 3, however the methodology adopted in this research work can be summarized in the flow charts shown in Figure 1.1 and 1.2




Figure 1. 1: Flow chart of the wet precipitation method (the first part).




Figure 1. 2: Flow chart of the hydrothermal method (the second part).

### \*\*\*Table of content

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| CHAPTER 1 .....                  | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction.....            | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem statement .....      | 2 |
| 1.3 Objectives of research ..... | 4 |
| 1.4 Research scope.....          | 5 |
| ***Table of content .....        | 8 |

### LIST OF TABLE

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Table 1. 1     Prediction of market share of orthopedic biomaterials over the<br>world in 5 years 2007-2011 (Driscoll, 2006)..... | 1 |
|---|---|

### LIST OF FIGURE

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Figure 1. 1     Flow chart of the wet precipitation method (the first part). .... | 6 |
| Figure 1. 2     Flow chart of the hydrothermal method (the second part). ....     | 7 |



## **CHAPTER 2**

### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

#### **2.1 Biomaterials**

The term biomaterials can be interpreted in two ways; first, as biological materials such as tissue and blood; and second, as implant materials that replace the function of the biological material (Park and Lake, 1992). According to its legal definition as frequently referenced in the literature, a biomaterial is a nonviable material used in a medical device, intended to interact with a biological system (Williams, 1988). Thus, a biomaterial must always be considered in its final fabricated and sterilized form. It was Williams, in 1988, who coined the words “biomaterial” and “biocompatibility” to indicate the biological performance of these materials. Thus, materials that are biocompatible can also be considered as biomaterials.

In 1982, the National Institute of Health Consensus Development Conference organized in America defined a biomaterial as “any substance (other than drug) or combination of substances, synthetic or natural in origin, which can be used for any period of time, as a whole or as a part of a system which treats, augments, or replace any tissue, organ, or function of the body” (The National Institute of Health Consensus Development Program: Clinical Applications of Biomaterials website, 1982).

Biomaterials can broadly be classified as biological biomaterials and synthetic biomaterials. Biological materials can be further classified into soft and hard tissue types whilst synthetic materials can be further grouped into metallic, polymeric, ceramic and composite biomaterials. Table 2.1 shows the various classifications and some examples of biomaterials.

Table 2. 1: Classification of biomaterials (Hin, 2004)

| I. Biological materials  | II. Synthetic biomedical materials  |
|--|---|
| <p>1. Soft tissue:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Skin, tendon, pericardium, cornea, etc.</li> </ul> | <p>1. Polymeric:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ultra High Molecular Weight Polyethylene (UHMWPE), Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), Polyethyletherketone (PEEK), Silicone, Polyurethane (PU), Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)</li> </ul>   |
| <p>2. Hard Tissue:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bone, dentine, cuticle, etc</li> </ul>             | <p>2. Metallic:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stainless steel, Cobalt-based alloy (Co-Cr-Mo), Titanium alloy (Ti-Al-V), Gold, Platinum</li> </ul>  |
|  | <p>3. Ceramic:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Alumina (<math>\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3</math>), Zirconia (<math>\text{ZrO}_2</math>), Carbon, Hydroxyapatite [<math>\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2</math>], Tricalcium phosphate [<math>\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2</math>], Bioglass [<math>\text{Na}_2\text{O}(\text{CaO})(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)(\text{SiO}_2)</math>], Calcium aluminate [<math>\text{Ca}(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_4)</math>]</li> </ul> |
|  | <p>4. Composite:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Carbon Fiber (CF) composite such as: CF/PEEK, CF/UHMWPE, CF/PMMA</li> </ul>   |

Synthetic materials are currently being used for biomedical applications. Since they have different structures, they have varying properties and uses in the body. These types of synthetic biomaterials will be presented in the next section.

## **2.2 Types of Synthetic Biomaterials**

### **2.2.1 Metallic biomaterials**

Metals have been used almost exclusively for load-bearing implants, such as hip and knee prostheses, fracture fixation wires, pins, screws, and plates (Dee *et al.*, 2002). Metals have also been used as parts of the artificial heart valves, e.g. such as vascular stents and pacemaker leads. Although pure metals are sometimes used, alloys (metal containing two or more elements) frequently provide improvement in material properties, such as strength and corrosion resistance. Three alloy systems dominate biomedical metals: 316L stainless steel, cobalt-chromium-molybdenum alloy, and pure titanium and titanium alloy. The main consideration in selecting metals and alloys for biomedical application are biocompatibility, appropriate mechanical properties, corrosion resistance and reasonable cost.

The mechanical properties of materials are of great importance when designing load-bearing orthopedic and dental implants. The mechanical properties of a biomaterial can best be described by its modulus of elasticity, tensile strength, elongation to failure and fracture toughness. Some mechanical properties of metallic biomaterials are listed in Table 2.2. With a few exceptions, the high ultimate tensile and fatigue strength of metals, compared to ceramic and polymers make them the materials of choice for implants that carry mechanical loads.

The elastic moduli of the metals listed in Table 2.2 are at least seven times greater than that of natural bone. This mismatch of mechanical properties can cause “stress shielding”, a condition characterized by bone resorption (loss of bone) in the vicinity of implants. This clinical complication arises because the

preferential distribution of mechanical loading through the metallic prosthesis deprives bone.

Table 2. 2: Selected properties of metallic biomaterials (Dee *et al.*, 2002)

| <b>Materials</b>    | <b>Young's modulus, E [GPa]</b> | <b>Yield strength, <math>\sigma_y</math> [MPa]</b> | <b>Tensile strength, <math>\sigma_{UTS}</math> [MPa]</b> | <b>Fatigue limit, <math>\sigma_{end}</math> [MPa]</b> |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Stainless steel     | 190                             | 221-1,213  | 586-1,351  | 241-820   |
| Co-Cr alloys        | 210-253                         | 448-1,606  | 655-1,896  | 207-950   |
| Titanium            | 110                             | 485  | 760  | 300   |
| Ti-6Al-4V           | 116                             | 896-1,034  | 965-1,103  | 620   |
| <b>Natural bone</b> | <b>15-30</b>                    | <b>30-70</b>                                       | <b>70-150</b>  | <b>-</b>  |

### 2.2.2 Ceramic and glass biomaterials

Ceramics, in general, can be defined as the art and science of making and using solid articles composed of inorganic and nonmetallic materials for functional applications. Typically, ceramics are fabricated by synthesizing powders, followed by shaping and consolidation processes, which enable the fabrication of objects of different shapes and sizes. Ceramics are refractory, inorganic compounds made of metals and nonmetals such as O, N, C, S. Exceptions to this general category include diamond, graphite, carbon nanotubes, and pyrolyzed carbons (Kingery *et al.*, 1976).

In recent years, ceramics and composites can also be used to augment or replace various parts of the body, particularly the bone. Ceramics that can be used for the body are called bioceramics. Their relative inertness to body fluids, high compressive strength, and pleasing aesthetic appearance have led to the use of ceramics in dentistry as dental crown. Some carbons have been

used as implant for blood interfacing application such as heart valves. Due to their high specific strength as fibers and owing to their biocompatibility, ceramics are also used as reinforcing components of composite implant materials and for tensile loading applications such as tendons and ligaments.

Unlike metals and polymers, ceramics do not shear plastically due to the ionic nature of the bonding and a minimum number of slips systems. Thus ceramics are non ductile and are responsible for almost zero creep at room temperature. Ceramics are susceptible to notches and cracks (micro-cracks) since they do not undergo plastic deformation. Ceramics fracture elastically on initiation of cracks. At the crack tip, stress could be many times larger than the stress in the material away from the tip, leading to stress concentration weakening in the material (Billotte, 2000).

In general, ceramics are hard: diamond is the hardest, with a hardness index of 10 on the Moh's scale followed by alumina ( $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , hardness 9) and quartz ( $\text{SiO}_2$ , hardness 8). Other characteristics are: high melting temperatures and low conductivity of electricity and heat.

The desired properties of bioceramics are:

- Non toxic
- Non-carcinogenic
- Non-inflammatory
- Biocompatible
- Biofunctional for its lifetime in the host

Ceramics used in fabricating implants can be classified as biodegradable or resorbable (non-inert); bioactive or surface reactive (semi-inert) and

nondegradable (relatively inert).  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{ZrO}_2$ ,  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  and carbons are inert bioceramics. Certain glass-ceramics and dense hydroxyapatite (HA) are semi-inert (bioreactive) and calcium phosphates are degradable ceramics. Some Ca-phosphates also contain alumina (AlCaP) which can be biodegradable.

Ceramics and glasses are also used as components of hip implants, dental implants, middle ear implants and heart valves. Overall, however, these biomaterials have been used less extensively than either metals or polymers. Some ceramics that have been used for biomedical application are listed in Table 2.3.

Table 2. 3: Ceramics used in biomedical application (Dee *et al.*, 2002).

| <b>Ceramics</b>                                  | <b>Chemical formula</b>  | <b>Remarks</b> |
|--|--|----------------|
| Alumina  | $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  | Bio-inert      |
| Zirconia   | $\text{ZrO}_2$   | Bio-inert      |
| Pyrolytic carbon                                 |  | Bio-inert      |
| Bioglass   | $\text{Na}_2\text{O}.\text{CaO}.\text{P}_2\text{O}_3\text{-SiO}_2$ | Bioactive      |
| Hydroxyapatite<br>(sintered at high temperature) | $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2$                       | Bioactive      |
| Hydroxyapatite<br>(sintered at low temperature)  | $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2$                       | Biodegradable  |
| Tricalcium phosphate                             | $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$                                       | Biodegradable  |

The fundamental principle in classifying ceramic biomaterials is based on chemical reactivity with the physiological environment (Figure 2.1). Relative inert bioceramic, such as structural  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , tend to exhibit inherently low levels of reactivity which peak on the order of  $10^4$  day (over 250 years). Bioactive ceramic, such as bioglass have a substantially higher level of reactivity peaking on the order of 100 days. Resorbable or degradable bioceramics, such as

tricalcium phosphate, have even higher levels of reactivity peaking on the order of 10 days. This broad spectrum of chemical behavior has led to a corresponding range of engineering design materials (Hench, 1991).




Figure 2.1: Bioactivity spectrum for various bioceramic implants. (Hench, 1991).

The major drawbacks to the use of ceramics and glasses as implants are their brittleness and poor tensile strength properties (see Table 2.4). Although they can have outstanding strength when loaded in compression, ceramics and glasses fail at low stresses when loaded in tension or bending. Among biomedical ceramics, alumina has the highest mechanical properties, but its tensile properties are still below those of metallic biomaterials. Additional advantageous properties of alumina are its low coefficient of friction and wear rate. As a consequence of these properties, alumina has been used as a bearing surface in joint replacements.

Table 2. 4: Mechanical properties of ceramic biomaterial (Dee *et al.*, 2002).

| <b>Materials</b>  | <b>Young's modulus , E<br/>[GPa]</b> | <b>Compressive strength, <math>\sigma_{UCS}</math><br/>[MPa]</b> | <b>Tensile strength, <math>\sigma_{UTS}</math><br/>[MPa]</b> |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Alumina           | 380                                  | 4500   | 350  |
| Bioglass-ceramic  | 22                                   | 500  | 56-83  |
| Calcium phosphate | 40-117                               | 510-896  | 69-193   |
| Pyrolytic carbon  | 18-28                                | 517  | 280-560  |

The mechanical properties of calcium phosphates and bioactive glasses make them unsuitable as load-bearing implants. Clinically, hydroxyapatite has been used as a filler for bone defects and as implant in load-free anatomic sites (for example, nasal septal bone and middle ear). In addition, hydroxyapatite has been used as a coating on metallic orthopedic and dental implants to promote their fixation in bone. In these cases, the underlying metal carries the load, whereas the surrounding bone strongly bonds to hydroxyapatite.

### 2.2.3 Polymeric biomaterials

Polymers are the most widely used materials in biomedical applications. They are the materials of Choi and Kumtace for cardiovascular devices as well as for replacement and augmentation of various soft tissues. Polymers are also used in drug delivery system, in diagnostic aids, and as a scaffolding material for tissue engineering applications. Example of current applications include vascular graft, heart valves, artificial hearts, breast implants, contact lenses, intraocular lenses, components of extracorporeal oxygenators, dialyzers and plasmapheresis units, coating for pharmaceutical tablets and capsules, sutures,



adhesives and blood substitutes. Examples of polymers and their uses are given in Table 2.5.

Table 2. 5: Examples of biomedical application of polymers (Dee *et al.*, 2002).

| <b>Applications</b>     | <b>Polymers</b>  |
|-------------------------|--|
| Cardiovascular implants | Polyethylene; polyvinylchloride (PVC); polyester; silicone rubber; polyethylene terephthalate; polytetraflouroethylene |
| Orthopedic implant      | Ultra high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE); polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA)                                      |
| Drug release            | Poly lactide-co-glycolide  |
| Tissue engineering      | Poly lactic acid; polyglycolic acid; poly lactide-co-glycolide   |

The mechanical properties of polymers depend on several factors, including the composition and structure of the macromolecular chains and their molecular weights. Table 2.6 lists some mechanical properties of selected polymeric biomaterials. Compared with metals and ceramics, polymers have much lower strengths and moduli but they can be deformed to a greater extent before failure. Consequently, polymers are generally not used in biomedical applications that bear loads (such as body weight). Ultra high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) is an exception, as it is used as a bearing surface in hip and knee replacements. The mechanical properties of polymers, however, are sufficient for numerous biomedical application (some of which are listed in Table 2.5).

Table 2. 6: Mechanical properties of biomedical polymers (Dee *et al.*, 2002).

| <b>Polymer</b>                                       | <b>Tensile strength,<br/><math>\sigma_{UTS}</math> [MPa]</b> | <b>Young's<br/>Modulus, E<br/>[GPa]</b> | <b>Elongation<br/>%</b> |
|--|--|---|-------------------------|
| Polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA)                       | 30   | 2.2                                     | 1.4                     |
| Nylon 6/6  | 76   | 2.8                                     | 90                      |
| Polyethylene terephthalate                           | 53   | 2.14                                    | 300                     |
| Polylactic acid                                      | 28-50  | 1.2-3                                   | 2-6                     |
| Polypropylene  | 28-36  | 1.1-1.55                                | 400-900                 |
| Polytetrafluoroethylene                              | 17-28  | 0.5                                     | 120-350                 |
| Silicone rubber                                      | 2.8  | Up to 10                                | 160                     |
| Ultra high molecular weight<br>polyethylene (UHMWPE) | $\geq 35$  | 4-12                                    | $\geq 300$              |

## 2.3 Calcium phosphate ceramics (CPC)

### 2.3.1 General properties of calcium phosphate ceramics:

As mentioned in the section 2.2.2,  $\beta$ -TCP can be classified to the calcium phosphate group. Thus, calcium phosphate will be focused in this section. There are several forms of calcium phosphate. They typically form a family of compounds called “apatites”. The name “apatite” was derived from “apataw”, the Greek word to deceive, since it was confused with several other similar looking minerals until chemical analysis conducted proved that calcium phosphate composition is similar to enamel, dentin and bone (see Table 2.7).

There are several types of calcium phosphate, such as tricalcium phosphate (TCP), hydroxyapatite (HA), dicalciumphosphate dihydrate (DCPD or brushite), dicalcium phosphate anhydrous (DCPA or monetite). They exist in different forms and phases depending on temperature, partial pressure of waters and the presence of impurities (Hench, 1998). Different phases are used

in different applications depending upon whether a degradable or a bioactive material is desired (Billotte, 2000). Table 2.8 summarized various phases of calcium phosphate currently used in the biomedical industry. Table 2.9 presents solubility of different phases of calcium phosphate in aqueous solution.

Table 2. 7: Comparison between enamel, dentin, bone and synthetic apatite (Lacout, 1992).

| Element %         | Enamel | Dentine | Bone  | Synthetic apatite |
|-------------------|--------|---------|-------|-------------------|
| Calcium           | 36.1   | 35.0    | 35.5  | 39.0              |
| Phosphorous       | 17.3   | 17.1    | 17.1  | 18.5              |
| Carbon dioxide    | 3.0    | 4.0     | 4.4   | -                 |
| Magnesium         | 0.5    | 1.2     | 0.9   | -                 |
| Sodium            | 0.2    | 0.2     | 1.1   | -                 |
| Potassium         | 0.3    | 0.07    | 0.1   | -                 |
| Chlorine          | 0.3    | 0.03    | 0.1   | -                 |
| Fluorine          | 0.016  | 0.017   | 0.015 | -                 |
| Sulphur           | 0.1    | 0.2     | 0.6   | -                 |
| Zinc              | 0.016  | 0.018   | -     | -                 |
| Silicon           | 0.003  | -       | 0.04  | -                 |
| Ca/P atomic ratio | 1.62   | 1.59    | 1.61  | 1.667             |
| Crystallinity     | good   | poor    | poor  | good              |

The mechanical properties of synthetic calcium phosphates vary considerably (Table 2.10). The wide range in the properties of polycrystalline calcium phosphates are due to the variations in their structure and manufacturing processes. Depending on the final firing condition, calcium phosphate can be hydroxyapatite or  $\beta$ -TCP. In many instances, both types of structures exist in the final product (Park and Lakes, 1992).

Table 2. 8: Various phases of calcium phosphate ceramics (Guelcher and Hollinger and Hollinger, 2006).

| <b>Phases</b>                                   | <b>Chemical formulae</b>                     | <b>Mineral name</b> | <b>Ca/P ratio</b> |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|
| Hydroxyapatite (HA)                             | $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2$ | Apatite             | 1.67              |
| $\alpha$ -Tricalcium phosphate ( $\alpha$ -TCP) | $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$                 | Whitlockite         | 1.5               |
| $\beta$ -Tricalcium phosphate ( $\beta$ -TCP)   | $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$                 | Whitlockite         | 1.5               |
| Dicalcium phosphate dihydrate (DCPD)            | $\text{CaHPO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$   | Brushite            | 1.0               |
| Dicalcium phosphate anhydrous (DCPA)            | $\text{CaHPO}_4$                             | Monetite            | 1.0               |

Table 2. 9: Solubility of different calcium phosphates (Schmidt, 1993).

| <b>Phases</b> | <b>Solubility</b>     |                     |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|               | <b>In water [g/l]</b> | <b>In 0.1 M HCl</b> |
| HA            | Insoluble             | Soluble             |
| $\beta$ -TCP  | 0.02                  | Soluble             |
| Brushite      | 0.3                   | Soluble             |
| Monetite      | 0.2                   | Soluble             |

Table 2. 10: Physical properties of calcium phosphates (Park and Lakes, 1992)

| <b>Property</b>                           | <b>Value</b> |
|---|--------------|
| Elastic modulus (GPa)                     | 4.0 – 117    |
| Compressive strength (MPa)                | 294          |
| Bending strength (MPa)                    | 147          |
| Hardness (Vickers)                        | 3.43         |
| Poisson's ratio                           | 0.27         |
| Density (theoretical, g/cm <sup>3</sup> ) | 3.16 – 3.2   |

In addition, calcium phosphates are widely used in food and pharmaceutical products, for instance as a calcium source in mineral tablets, baking powders, cheese and meat products or as abrasive agents in toothpaste. They are also used as tablets fillers/binders because of their good binding properties, excellent flowability, low costs, chemical purity and relatively few incompatibilities with pharmaceutical drugs. Table 2.11 shows the commercially available calcium suitable for direct tablet. All these substances are also available as fine powders for wet granulation, and food industry.

Table 2. 11: Calcium phosphates used for direct tablet (Schmidt and Herzog and Herzog, 1993)

| <b>Formula</b>   | <b>Trade names</b>   | <b>Manufacturer</b>  |
|--|--|--|
| CaHPO <sub>4</sub> ·2H <sub>2</sub> O<br>(Brushite)                | Bekapress D2.<br>Dentphos L5.<br>Di-Cafos.<br><br>Di-Tab.<br>Emcompress Dihydrate. | BK Ladenburg, Ladenburg, FRG.<br>BK Landenburg.<br>Chemische Fabrik Budenheim,<br>Budenheim, FRG.<br>Rhone-Poulenc, New York, USA.<br>E. Mendell Co., New York, USA. |
| CaHPO <sub>4</sub><br>(Monetite)                                   | A-Tab.<br>Di-Cafos A.<br>Di-Cafos AN.<br>Emcompress Anhydrous.                     | Rhone-Poulenc.<br>Chemische Fabrik Budenheim.<br>Chemische Fabrik Budenheim.<br>E. Mendell Co.   |
| Ca <sub>10</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>6</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> | Tri-Cafos S.<br>Tri-Tab.   | Chemische Fabrik Budenheim.<br>Rhone-Poulenc.  |

Among these calcium phosphate ceramics, bioresorbable or biodegradable ceramics are most interesting. The concept of degradable ceramics as bone substitutes was introduced in 1969 by Hentrich. However, long before this plaster of paris was already being used as a bone substitute.

(Peltier, 1957). Degradable ceramics, as the name implies, degrade upon implantation in the host and are slowly replaced by advancing tissue (such as bone) (Guelcher and Hollinger and Hollinger, 2006). The rate of degradation varies from material to material. Most bioresorbable ceramics except for biocoral and plaster of paris are essentially variations of calcium phosphate. Example of biodegradable bioceramics include: aluminum – calcium – phosphorus oxides (AlCaP) (Mattie and Bajpai, 1988), glass fiber and their composites (Alexander et al., 1987), coral [(Guillemin et al., 1989) and (Khavari and Bajpai, 1993)]. Typical uses of biodegradable ceramics are drug delivery devices (Abrams and Bajpai, 1994) and for repair of damaged bone due to disease or trauma (Scheidler and Bajpai, 1992). A drawback of calcium phosphate ceramics is their complicated fabrication process and particularly difficult shaping. Nevertheless, calcium phosphate ceramics have gained and will keep a place in clinical practice, as an alternative for autologous bone grafting and as base material for implantable teeth.

### **2.3.2 Hydroxyapatite**

The ideal Ca/P ratio of hydroxyapatite is 1.67 and the calculated density is  $3.219\text{g/cm}^3$ . Substitution of  $\text{OH}^-$  ion with fluoride gives the apatite greater chemical stability due to the closer coordination of fluoride (symmetric shape), as compared to the hydroxyl (asymmetric, two atoms) by the nearest calcium. This is why fluoridation of drinking water helps in resisting caries of the teeth. (Park and Lakes, 1992).

Hard tissue such as bone, dentin, and dental enamel are natural composites which contain hydroxyapatite (or a similar mineral), as well as

protein, other organic materials and water. Enamel is the stiffest hard tissue, with an elastic modulus of 74 GPa, and containing the most mineral hydroxyapatite. Dentin ( $E = 21$  GPa) and compact bone ( $E = 12$  to  $18$  GPa) contain comparatively less mineral. The Poisson's ratio for the mineral or synthetic hydroxyapatite is about 0.27, which is close to that of bone ( $\sim 0.3$ ) (Park and Lakes, 1992).


### 2.3.3 Tricalcium phosphate (TCP)

$\beta$ -TCP is the low-temperature phase in the  $\text{CaO} - \text{P}_2\text{O}_5$  phase diagram.  $\beta$ -TCP transforms to  $\alpha$ -TCP at  $1125^\circ\text{C}$  and above this, up to  $1430^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $\alpha$ -TCP is a stable phase. Above  $1430^\circ\text{C}$ , the super  $\alpha$ -TCP form becomes stable until the melting point of  $1756^\circ\text{C}$ . The ideal Ca/P ratio of  $\beta$ -TCP is 1.5 and the theoretical density is  $3.17 \text{ g/cm}^3$  as reported by Guelcher and Hollinger and Hollinger (2006). Research has shown that tricalcium phosphate degrades faster than calcium phosphate,  $[\text{Ca}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7]$ , which also degrades faster than hydroxyapatite (Bhat, 2006).

According to Kalita *et al.*, (2007) and Guelcher and Hollinger (2006),  $\beta$ -TCP does not form in aqueous system under normal laboratory conditions except with the introduction of small amounts of  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  ions. Kalita *et al.*, (2007) and Billotte (2003) also agree that crystallization of various salts of calcium phosphate like hydroxyapatite and  $\beta$ -TCP depends on Ca/P ratio, presence of water, impurities and temperature. For instance, in a wet environment and at a lower temperature ( $<900^\circ\text{C}$ ), the formation of hydroxyapatite is most likely to happen, but in a dry atmosphere and at a high temperature,  $\beta$ -TCP is more likely to form. Both forms are very tissue compatible and are used as bone

substitutes in a granular form or a solid block. A multi-crystalline porous form of  $\beta$ -TCP has been used successfully to correct periodontal defects and augment bone contours (Metsger et al., 1982). X-ray diffraction of  $\beta$ -TCP powder shows an average interconnected porosity of over 100 $\mu$ m (Lemons and Niemann, 1979).

Since TCP is a degradable temporary bone space filler material, when implanted, TCP will interact with body fluids to form hydroxyapatite as follow: (Grook, 1984).



This reaction will decrease the pH of the local solution and further increase the solubility of TCP. Theoretically, degradable  $\beta$ -TCP is an ideal implant material. After implantation, TCP will degrade with time and be replaced with natural tissues. It leads to the regeneration of tissue instead of their replacement and thus solves the problem of interfacial stability. However, in clinical applications, some limitations restrict the use of degradable TCP:

Firstly, the mechanical performance of an implant must match the repair rate of body tissues. According to Legeros *et al.*, (1995), Ducheyne *et al.*, (1990), the order of relative solubility of  $\alpha$ -TCP,  $\beta$ -TCP and HA had been suggested as  $\alpha$ -TCP  $\sim$   $\beta$ -TCP and very much greater than HA. In order to use for surgical implantation, the degradation rate of the implant must be controlled very well. To solve this problem, a composite between bioactive (semi-solubility) and bioresorbable (high solubility) was mixed together to control the



degradation rate, for instance the biphasic calcium phosphate (BCP) between hydroxyapatite and  $\beta$ -TCP.

Secondly, large quantities of the implant materials must be handled by the body cells and as such the constituents of a degradable implant must be metabolically acceptable. TCP implants dissolve by grain-boundary degradation. The released grains may cause a potential metabolic problem because of their size (Gross *et al.*, 1988).

#### 2.3.4 Trace element measurement of HA and $\beta$ -TCP for surgical implant

The concentration of trace elements in HA and  $\beta$ -TCP shall be limited for surgical implant purpose (see Table 2.12). In this research work,  $\beta$ -TCP powders synthesized satisfy the requirement of the ASTM F1088-04a standard. The concentrations of trace elements in  $\beta$ -TCP powders will be discussed in Chapter 4.

Table 2. 12: Standard specification of HA and  $\beta$ -TCP for surgical implant (Furcola, 2005).

| <b>Standard specification of HA and <math>\beta</math>-TCP for surgical implant</b> |                              |                |
|---|------------------------------|----------------|
| Element   | Maximum concentration, [ppm] |                |
|   | HA                           | $\beta$ -TCP   |
| As  | 3                            | 3              |
| Cd  | 5                            | 5              |
| Hg  | 5                            | 5              |
| Pb  | 30                           | 30             |
| Standard  | ASTM F1185-03                | ASTM F1088-04a |

#### 2.3.5 Thermal behaviour of calcium phosphate ceramic

Schmidt and Herzog (1993) studied the thermal behavior of calcium phosphate. Four types of calcium phosphate were used for his study: (1)